United Nations A/68/74

General Assembly

Distr.: General
2 May 2013

Original: English

Sixty-eighth session
Item 135 of the preliminary list*
Programme budget for the biennium 2012-2013

# Proposed revisions to the Regulations and Rules Governing Programme Planning, the Programme Aspects of the Budget, Monitoring of Implementation and the Methods of Evaluation

## Report of the Secretary-General

1. The Regulations of the United Nations Governing Programme Planning, the Programme Aspects of the Budget, the Monitoring of Implementation and the Methods of Evaluation were adopted by the General Assembly in its resolution 37/234. The corresponding Rules were promulgated and issued as ST/SGB/PPBME/Rules/1 (1987) pursuant to General Assembly resolutions 37/234 and 38/227 A. The Regulations were subsequently amended by the Assembly in section 1 of its resolution 42/215, to reflect the revisions to the budget process approved in resolution 41/213.

2. The Regulations were further amended by the General Assembly in section III of its resolution 53/207 of 18 December 1998, as recommended by the Committee for Programme and Coordination at its thirty-eighth session (see A/53/16). The revised Regulations were brought to the attention of the General Assembly in a report of the Secretary-General (A/54/125) and were subsequently amended again by the Assembly in its resolution 54/236, as recommended by the Committee for Programme and Coordination at its thirty-ninth session (see A/54/16).

3. In the same resolution, the General Assembly urged the Secretary-General to issue the revisions to the relevant rules as soon as possible, taking into account the recommendations contained in the report of the Committee for Programme and Coordination. On 19 April 2000, the Secretary-General promulgated a revised edition of the Regulations and Rules, pursuant to Assembly resolutions 53/207 and 54/236 and its decision 54/474. However, in the year following the issuance of the revised Regulations and Rules, the General Assembly, in paragraph 5 of its resolution 55/234, expressed the view that some of the conclusions and recommendations of the

* A/68/50.

13-32181 (E) 310513

Please recycle

Committee for Programme and Coordination had not been fully reflected in the revised Regulations and Rules.

4. The Secretary-General proposed several improvements to the process of planning and budgeting (see A/58/395 and Corr.1) that were welcomed and taken on board by the General Assembly in its resolution 58/269 entitled "Strengthening of the United Nations: an agenda for further change". The improvements decided on by the Assembly included, inter alia, replacing the four-year medium-term plan with a strategic framework, comprised of two parts. Part one would consist of a plan outline, reflecting the longer-term objectives of the Organization, and part two would be a biennial programme plan, to cover two years. The Assembly also requested the Secretary-General to ensure that resources for monitoring and evaluation activities are identified in all sections of the budget and decided that the Committee on Programme and Coordination would no longer review the budget outline.

5. At its fifty-second session, held from 4 to 28 June 2012, the Committee on Programme and Coordination recommended that the General Assembly request the Secretary-General to present at its sixty-eighth session, through the Committee on Programme and Coordination, a report proposing revisions to the Regulations and Rules (see A/67/16, para. 71), based on changes approved by the Assembly in its various resolutions on programme planning. In its resolution 67/236 the Assembly endorsed the recommendation of the Committee.

6. The revisions to the Regulations and Rules are hereby submitted in response to the request of the General Assembly (see annex). In the annex below, existing regulations and rules, and the proposed revisions are provided for the consideration of the General Assembly, together with comments and references to resolutions, where relevant.

# Annex

## Regulations and Rules Governing Programme Planning, the Programme Aspects of the Budget, the Monitoring of Implementation and the Methods of Evaluation

| *Regulation rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|

**Preamble**

1. The planning, programming, budgeting, monitoring and evaluation cycle established by the United Nations through resolutions and decisions of the General Assembly aims at the following:

(a) To subject all programmes of the Organization to periodic and thorough reviews;

(b) To afford an opportunity for reflection before choices among the various types of action possible are made in the light of all existing conditions;

(c) To associate in that reflection all participants in the Organization's actions, especially Member States and the Secretariat;

(d) To assess what is feasible and derive from this assessment objectives that are both feasible and politically acceptable to Member States as a whole;

(e) To translate those objectives into programmes and work plans in which the responsibilities and tasks of those who are to implement them are specified;

(f) To indicate to Member States the resources needed to design and implement activities and to ensure that those resources are utilized according to legislative intent and in the most effective and economical manner;

| *Regulation rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|
| (g) To provide a framework for setting priorities among activities; | | |
| (h) To establish an independent and effective system for monitoring implementation and verifying the effectiveness of the work actually done; | | |
| (i) To evaluate periodically the results achieved, with a view either to confirming the validity of the orientations chosen or to reshaping the programmes towards different orientations. | | |
| 2. In pursuance of the above aims, the following instruments are to be utilized in the Organization: | | |
| (a) The introduction to the medium-term plan and the medium-term plan itself, whereby orientations are given to the Organization's activities; | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | (a) The strategic framework, whereby orientations are given to the Organization's activities; |
| (b) The programme budget and the programme performance report, in which the Secretariat is committed to precise work plans involving delivery of output and where implementation thereof is monitored and reported; | | |
| (c) The evaluation system, which allows for continuing critical review of achievements, collective thinking thereon and formulation of subsequent plans. | | |

| *Regulation rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|
| **Article I** | | |
| **Applicability** | | |
| **Regulation 1.1** | | |
| **The present Regulations shall govern the planning, programming, monitoring and evaluation of all activities undertaken by the United Nations, irrespective of their source of financing.** | | |
| **Rule 101.1** | | **Rule 101.1** |
| (a) The present Rules are promulgated in implementation of the provisions of the Regulations Governing Programme Planning, the Programme Aspects of the Budget, the Monitoring of Implementation and the Methods of Evaluation. They shall govern the planning, programming, monitoring and evaluation of United Nations activities, except as may otherwise be provided by the General Assembly or specifically exempted by the Secretary-General. Such cases will be brought to the attention of the General Assembly. The Steering Committee on Reform and Management, on behalf of the Secretary-General, shall be responsible for overseeing the application of these Rules. | In accordance with paragraph 2.1 of ST/SGB/2005/16, the Management Committee "shall consider internal reform and management-related issues requiring strategic direction from the Secretary-General". It has thus taken over the functions of the Steering Committee on Reform and Management. | (a) The present Rules are promulgated in implementation of the provisions of the Regulations Governing Programme Planning, the Programme Aspects of the Budget, the Monitoring of Implementation and the Methods of Evaluation. They shall govern the planning, programming, monitoring and evaluation of United Nations activities, except as may otherwise be provided by the General Assembly or specifically exempted by the Secretary-General. Such cases will be brought to the attention of the General Assembly. The Management Committee, on behalf of the Secretary-General, shall be responsible for overseeing the application of these Rules. |
| (b) Planning for activities to be partially or fully financed by extrabudgetary funds shall be provisional, and such activities will be implemented only if adequate funds are made available. | | |

| *Regulation/rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|
| (c) Due consideration shall be given in the planning, programming, monitoring and evaluation process to the specific nature of the varied activities of the Organization, some of which may arise from events that cannot be foreseen or planned, bearing in mind, in particular, the responsibilities of the Security Council under the Charter of the United Nations. | | |
| **Article II** | | |
| **Instruments of integrated management** | | |
| **Regulation 2.1** | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | **Regulation 2.1** |
| **Activities undertaken by the United Nations shall be submitted to an integrated management process reflected in the following instruments:** | | **Activities undertaken by the United Nations aimed at achieving results shall be submitted to an integrated management process reflected in the following instruments:** |
| **(a) Medium-term plans;** | | **(a) Strategic frameworks;** |
| **(b) Programme budgets;** | | **(b) Programme budgets;** |
| **(c) Reports on programme performance;** | | **(c) Reports on programme performance;** |
| **(d) Evaluation reports.** | | **(d) Evaluation reports.** |
| **Each of these instruments corresponds to one phase in the programme-planning cycle and, consequently, shall serve as a framework for the subsequent phases.** | | **Each of these instruments corresponds to one phase in the programme-planning cycle and, consequently, shall serve as a framework for the subsequent phases.** |
| **Regulation 2.2** | | |
| **The planning, programming, budgeting and evaluation cycle shall form an integral part of the general policymaking and management process of the Organization. The instruments referred to in regulation 2.1 shall be used to ensure that activities are coordinated and that the available resources are utilized according to legislative intent and in the most effective and economical manner.** | | |

| *Regulation rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|
| **Article III** | | |
| **Planning, programming and budgeting process** | | |
| **Regulation 3.1** | | |
| **Planning, programming and budgeting process** | | |
| **1. The planning, programming and budgeting process shall be governed, inter alia, by the following principles:** | | |
| **(a) Strict adherence to the principles and provisions of the Charter of the United Nations, in particular Articles 17 and 18 thereof;** | | |
| **(b) Full respect for the prerogatives of the principal organs of the United Nations with respect to the planning, programming and budgeting process;** | | |
| **(c) Full respect for the authority and the prerogatives of the Secretary-General as the Chief Administrative Officer of the Organization;** | | |
| **(d) Recognition of the need for Member States to participate in the preparation of the budget at the early stages and throughout the process.** | | |
| **2. The process for the formulation of the medium-term shall include:** | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | **2. The process for the formulation of the strategic framework shall include:** |
| **(a) Full implementation of the present regulations as they pertain to the medium-term plan;** | | **(a) Full implementation of the present regulations as they pertain to the strategic framework;** |

| *Regulation rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|
| **(b) Consultations in a systematic way regarding the programmes in the plan with sectoral, technical, regional and central bodies of the United Nations;** | | **(b) Consultations in a systematic way regarding the programmes in the strategic framework with sectoral, technical, regional and central bodies of the United Nations;** |
| **(c) Drawing up by the Secretary-General, in consultation with the Committee for Programme and Coordination and the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions, of a calendar for such consultations.** | In accordance with paragraph 24 of resolution 64/243. | **(c) Drawing up by the Secretary-General, in consultation with the Committee for Programme and Coordination, of a calendar for such consultations.** |
| **Regulation 3.2** | | |
| **Budget process** | | |
| **A. Off-budget years** | | |
| **1. The Secretary-General shall submit an outline of the programme budget for the following biennium, which shall contain an indication of the following:** | | |
| **(a) A preliminary estimate of the resources required to accommodate the proposed programme of activities during the biennium;** | | |
| **(b) Priorities, reflecting general trends of a broad sectoral nature;** | | |
| **(c) Real growth, positive or negative, compared with the previous budget;** | | |
| **(d) The size of the contingency fund expressed as a percentage of the overall level of resources.** | | |

| *Regulation rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|
| **2. The Committee for Programme and Coordination, acting as a subsidiary organ of the General Assembly, shall consider the outline of the programme budget and submit its conclusions and recommendations to the Assembly through its Fifth Committee.** | In paragraph 11 of its resolution 58/269, the General Assembly decided that the Committee for Programme and Coordination would no longer consider the budget outline. | Delete paragraph 2 and renumber the remaining paragraphs. |
| **3. On the basis of a decision by the General Assembly, the Secretary-General shall prepare his proposed programme budget for the following biennium.** | | |
| **4. Throughout this process, the mandate and functions of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions shall be fully respected. The Advisory Committee shall consider the outline of the programme budget in accordance with its terms of reference.** | | |
| **B. Budget years** | | |
| **5. The Secretary-General shall submit his proposed programme budget to the Committee for Programme and Coordination and the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions in accordance with existing procedures.** | In accordance with paragraph 12 of resolution 58/269; change in numbering. | **4. The Secretary-General shall include in the introduction of the budget fascicles information on the new and/or revised mandates approved by the General Assembly subsequent to the adoption of the biennial programme plan.** |
| **6. The Committee for Programme and Coordination and the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions shall examine the proposed programme budget in accordance with their respective mandates and shall submit their conclusions and recommendations to the General Assembly, through its Fifth Committee, for final approval of the programme budget.** | In accordance with paragraph 13 of resolution 58/269; change in numbering. | **5. The Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions shall examine the proposed programme budget in accordance with its mandate and shall submit its conclusions and recommendations to the General Assembly, through its Fifth Committee, for final approval of the programme budget.** |

| *Regulation/rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|
| **7. The programme budget shall include expenditures related to political activities of a perennial character whose mandates are renewed annually, together with their related conference costs.** | | |
| **Rule 103.1** | | |
| An outline of the proposed programme budget shall be submitted to the General Assembly by 15 August of the off-budget year. | | |
| **Article IV** | | **Article IV** |
| **Medium-term plan** | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | **Strategic framework** |
| **Regulation 4.1** | | **Regulation 4.1** |
| **A medium-term plan shall be proposed by the Secretary-General.** | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | **A strategic framework shall be proposed by the Secretary-General. It shall comprise in one document:** |
| | | **(a) Part one: a plan outline, reflecting the longer-term objectives of the Organization;** |
| | | **(b) Part two: a biennial programme plan, to cover two years.** |
| | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 59/275. | Insert a new rule 104.1 and renumber remaining rules accordingly. |
| | | **Rule 104.1** |
| | | The strategic framework shall be based, inter alia, on the following principal criteria: |
| | | (a) Longer-term objectives consistent with all the relevant legislative mandates in all areas of the activities of the United Nations; |

| *Regulation rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|
| | | (b) Outcomes of intergovernmental conferences and summits; |
| | | (c) Inputs from relevant programme managers; |
| | | (d) Use of intergovernmentally agreed terms and expressions. |
| **Rule 104.1** | | **Rule 104.2** |
| | | Insert a new rule 104.2 (a) and renumber remaining paragraphs accordingly. |
| | | (a) The Secretary-General shall prepare part one: plan outline, reflecting the longer-term objectives of the Organization. |
| (a) Instructions shall be issued in accordance with the present Regulations and Rules for the formulation of the medium-term plan proposals. Heads of departments and offices (as defined in financial rule 101.3 (c)) shall submit to the Secretary-General proposals for the programmes falling within their area(s) of competence, in such detail, at such time and through such channels as the Secretary-General may require; | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269; change in numbering. | (b) Instructions shall be issued in accordance with the present Regulations and Rules for the formulation of strategic framework proposals. Heads of departments and offices (as defined in financial rule 101.3 (c)) shall submit to the Secretary-General proposals for the programmes falling within their area(s) of competence, in such detail, at such time and through such channels as the Secretary-General may require; |
| (b) The Secretary-General shall establish a timetable in order to guarantee the submission of the proposed medium-term plan and its revisions to the Committee for Programme and Coordination, in accordance with the six-week documentation rule, and to the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions no later than the end of April of the year proceeding the budgetary period. | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269 and paragraph 24 of resolution 64/243; change in numbering. | (c) The Secretary-General shall establish a timetable in order to guarantee the submission of the proposed strategic framework and its revisions to the Committee for Programme and Coordination, in accordance with the six-week documentation rule, no later than the end of April. |

| *Regulation rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|
| **Regulation 4.2** | | |
| **The medium-term plan shall be a translation of legislative mandates into programmes and subprogrammes. Its objectives and strategies shall be derived from the policy orientations and goals set by the intergovernmental organs. It shall clearly reflect Member States' priorities as set out in legislation adopted by functional and regional intergovernmental bodies within their spheres of competence and by the General Assembly, on advice from the Committee for Programme and Coordination.** | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | **Regulation 4.2**<br>**The strategic framework shall be a translation of legislative mandates into programmes and subprogrammes. Its objectives and strategies shall be derived from the policy orientations and goals set by the intergovernmental organs. It shall clearly reflect Member States' priorities as set out in legislation adopted by functional and regional intergovernmental bodies within their spheres of competence and by the General Assembly, on advice from the Committee for Programme and Coordination.** |
| **Rule 104.2** | Change in numbering. | **Rule 104.2** |
| (a) Requests and directives to the Secretary-General contained in resolutions or decisions of relevant intergovernmental organs constitute legislative mandates for proposed activities. Legislation establishing an organizational unit or providing the general mandate for work in an area should not be cited unless it is the only mandate for the activities proposed. | | |
| (b) Only United Nations intergovernmental organs are competent to provide a legislative mandate. Decisions or conclusions of intergovernmental organs that are not organs of the United Nations may become a mandate after they are endorsed by an intergovernmental organ of the United Nations. | | |
| (c) Unless it mandates continuing functions of the Organization, a legislative mandate adopted more than five years before the medium-term plan review should be accompanied by an explanation justifying its retention as a mandate. | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | (c) Unless it mandates continuing functions of the Organization, a legislative mandate adopted more than five years before the strategic framework review should be accompanied by an explanation justifying its retention as a mandate. |

| *Regulation rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|
| (d) New activities that may be proposed by the Secretary-General in fulfilment of the general objectives of the Organization shall be given a legislative mandate through the adoption of the medium-term plan by the General Assembly. | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | (d) New activities that may be proposed by the Secretary-General in fulfilment of the general objectives of the Organization shall be given a legislative mandate through the adoption of the strategic framework by the General Assembly. |
| **Regulation 4.3** | | **Regulation 4.3** |
| **The medium-term plan shall serve as a framework for the formulation of biennial programme budgets within the period covered by the plan.** | In accordance with paragraph 6 (c) of resolution 58/269. | **The strategic framework and the budget outline shall together form the basis for preparing the proposed programme budget.** |
| **Regulation 4.4** | | **Regulation 4.4** |
| **The medium-term plan shall cover all activities, both substantive and servicing, including those to be financed partially or fully from extrabudgetary resources.** | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | **The strategic framework shall cover all activities, both substantive and servicing, including those to be financed partially or fully from extrabudgetary and other assessed resources.** |
| **Rule 104.3** | Change in numbering. | **Rule 104.4** |
| Medium-term plan proposals shall be submitted to the General Assembly through the Committee for Programme and Coordination and the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions. | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269 and paragraph 24 of resolution 64/243. | Strategic framework proposals shall be submitted to the General Assembly through the Committee for Programme and Coordination. |
| **Regulation 4.5** | | **Regulation 4.5** |
| **The medium-term plan shall be presented by programme and subprogramme. There shall be congruence, to the extent possible, of the programmatic and organizational structure of the Secretariat. Each programme shall contain a narrative identifying the mandates that provide overall direction for the programme. Each subprogramme shall contain a narrative, which should reflect all mandated** | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | **The strategic framework shall be presented by programme and subprogramme. There shall be congruence, to the extent possible, of the programmatic and organizational structure of the Secretariat. Each programme shall contain a narrative identifying the mandates that provide overall orientation for the programme. Each subprogramme shall contain a narrative,** |

| *Regulation rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|
| **activities and identify objectives and accomplishments to be achieved during the plan period.** | | **which should reflect all mandated activities and identify objectives of the Organization, expected accomplishments of the Secretariat, as well as indicators of achievement and strategies to be used during the plan period to contribute to the fulfilment of expected accomplishments and objectives.** |
| **Rule 104.4** | Change in numbering. | **Rule 104.5** |
| (a) Each programme of the plan shall be presented in a separate chapter and shall contain subprogrammes where appropriate. | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | (a) Each programme of the strategic framework shall be presented in a separate chapter and shall contain subprogrammes where appropriate. |
| (b) The subprogramme structure of the medium-term plan shall determine the subprogramme structure of the programme budgets for the biennium. | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | (b) The subprogramme structure of the strategic framework shall determine the subprogramme structure of the programme budgets for the biennium. |
| (c) The subprogramme structure shall, to the extent possible, correspond to an organizational unit, normally at the divisional level. The General Assembly may modify the subprogramme structure of the programme budget when it adopts the programme budget. | | |
| (d) For substantive activities: | | |
| (i) Each programme shall contain an introductory narrative describing the relationship of planned activities to the overall strategy reflected in the decisions of the General Assembly for the medium-term period and the rationale for selecting the objectives and the subprogrammes intended to attain them. Such an analysis should take into account the situation in the sector in question, the problems that had been identified and the progress made by the international community towards solving | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | (i) Each programme shall contain an introductory narrative describing the relationship of planned activities to the overall strategy reflected in the decisions of the General Assembly for the period of the strategic framework and the rationale for selecting the objectives and the subprogrammes intended to attain them. Such an analysis should take into account the situation in the sector in question, the problems that had been identified, including those identified through evaluation, and the |

| Regulation rule | Comment | Proposed revision |
|---|---|---|
| those problems. The narrative shall describe the actions expected from both Member States and international organizations, as well as the linkages with other programmes; | | progress made by the international community towards solving those problems. The narrative shall describe the actions expected from both Member States and international organizations, as well as the linkages with other programmes; |
| (ii) The subprogramme shall be the main unit of analysis, review and evaluation in the United Nations planning and programming system. | | |
| **Regulation 4.6** | | |
| **The medium-term plan shall include an introduction, which will constitute a key integral element of the planning process. The introduction shall be derived from legislative mandates set by intergovernmental organs, shall identify future challenges and shall:** | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | **Part one of the strategic framework shall be the plan outline, which will constitute a key integral element of the planning process. The plan outline shall be derived from legislative mandates set by intergovernmental organs, shall identify future challenges and shall:** |
| **(a) Highlight in a coordinated manner the policy orientation of the United Nations;** | | |
| **(b) Indicate the medium-term objectives and strategy and the trends deduced from mandates that reflect priorities set by intergovernmental organs as well as future challenges;** | In accordance with paragraph 5 (a) of resolution 58/269. | **(b) Indicate the longer-term objectives and strategy and the trends deduced from mandates that reflect priorities set by intergovernmental organs as well as future challenges;** |
| **(c) Contain the Secretary-General's proposals on priorities.** | | |
| **Regulation 4.7** | | **Regulation 4.7** |
| **The medium-term plan shall cover a four-year period and shall be submitted to the General Assembly one year before the submission of the proposed programme budget covering the first biennium of the plan period.** | In accordance with paragraph 5 (b) of resolution 58/269. | **The strategic framework shall cover a two-year period and shall be submitted to the General Assembly one year before the submission of the proposed programme budget covering the same two-year period.** |

| *Regulation rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|
| **Regulation 4.8** | | **Regulation 4.8** |
| **The programmes and subprogrammes of the proposed medium-term plan shall be reviewed by the relevant sectoral, functional and regional intergovernmental bodies, if possible during the regular cycle of their meetings, prior to their review by the Committee for Programme and Coordination, the Economic and Social Council and the General Assembly. The Committee for Programme and Coordination and the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions shall consider the proposed medium-term plan in accordance with their terms of reference.** | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269 and paragraph 24 of resolution 64/243. | **The programmes and subprogrammes of the proposed strategic framework shall be reviewed by the relevant sectoral, functional and regional intergovernmental bodies, if possible during the regular cycle of their meetings, prior to their review by the Committee for Programme and Coordination, the Economic and Social Council and the General Assembly. The Committee for Programme and Coordination shall consider the proposed strategic framework in accordance with its terms of reference.** |
| **Rule 104.5** | | **Rule 104.6** |
| (a) Programme managers shall prepare, in conformity with the present Regulations and Rules and the instructions issued by the Secretary-General, and under the policy guidance of the heads of departments and offices, a draft of their portions of the medium-term plan. | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | (a) Programme managers shall prepare, in conformity with the present Regulations and Rules and the instructions issued by the Secretary-General, and under the policy guidance of the heads of departments and offices, a draft of their portions of the strategic framework. |
| (b) Programme managers shall draft their portions of the plan in time for them to be reviewed by the organs referred to in regulations 4.8 and 4.9. | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | (b) Programme managers shall draft their portions of the strategic framework in time for them to be reviewed by the organs referred to in regulations 4.8 and 4.9. |
| (c) When those organs are considering the proposed plan, the Secretariat shall draw their attention to the provisions of the present Regulations and Rules. | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | (c) When those organs are considering the proposed strategic framework, the Secretariat shall draw their attention to the provisions of the present Regulations and Rules. |
| (d) After this review has been completed, programme managers shall send the following documents to the Office of Programme Planning, Budget and Accounts: | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | |

| Regulation rule | Comment | Proposed revision |
|---|---|---|
| (i) The draft plan as presented to the relevant body; | | (i) The draft strategic framework as presented to the relevant body; |
| (ii) That part of the report of the body covering its review of the draft plan and its recommendations for changes; | | (ii) That part of the report of the body covering its review of the draft strategic framework and its recommendations for changes; |
| (iii) The redrafted plan reflecting those recommendations where appropriate. | | (iii) The redrafted strategic framework reflecting those recommendations where appropriate. |
| (e) When the above-mentioned documents have been received, the narratives of the programmes and subprogrammes will be adjusted to incorporate changes needed to ensure coherence, improve coordination and avoid overlap. The formulation of the proposed medium-term plan shall be supervised by the Steering Committee on Reform and Management. | In accordance with ST/SGB/2005/16 and paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | (e) When the above-mentioned documents have been received, the narratives of the programmes and subprogrammes will be adjusted to incorporate changes needed to ensure coherence, improve coordination and avoid overlap. The formulation of the proposed strategic framework shall be supervised by the Management Committee. |
| (f) The proposed plan will then be issued in fascicles as a General Assembly document. Only the proposed plan of the Secretary-General will be made available to the Committee for Programme and Coordination, the Economic and Social Council and the General Assembly. | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | (f) The proposed strategic framework will then be issued in fascicles as a General Assembly document and will be made available to the Committee for Programme and Coordination, the Economic and Social Council and the General Assembly. |
| (g) The plan, as approved by the General Assembly, will be printed as a single document. | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | (g) The strategic framework, as approved by the General Assembly, will be printed as a single document. |
| **Regulation 4.9** | | **Regulation 4.9** |
| **The participation of sectoral, functional and regional organs in the formulation of the medium-term plan shall be achieved by means of an appropriate preparation period. To that end, the Secretary-General shall provide proposals for the coordination of their calendars of meetings. The activities in the medium-term plan shall be coordinated with** | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | **The participation of sectoral, functional and regional organs in the formulation of the strategic framework shall be achieved by means of an appropriate preparation period. To that end, the Secretary-General shall provide proposals for the coordination of their calendars of meetings. The activities in the strategic framework shall be coordinated** |

| *Regulation/rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|
| **those of the concerned specialized agencies through prior consultations.** | | **with those of the concerned specialized agencies through prior consultations.** |
| **Rule 104.6** | Change in numbering. | **Rule 104.7** |
| The Secretary-General shall take appropriate measures to submit proposals to the sectoral, functional and regional bodies to enable them to review the relevant portions of the medium-term plan or its revisions with a view to facilitating their consideration by the Committee for Programme and Coordination and the General Assembly. | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | The Secretary-General shall take appropriate measures to submit proposals to the sectoral, functional and regional bodies to enable them to review the relevant portions of the strategic framework or its revisions with a view to facilitating their consideration by the Committee for Programme and Coordination and the General Assembly. |
| **Regulation 4.10** | | **Regulation 4.10** |
| **The General Assembly shall consider the proposed medium-term plan in the light of the comments and recommendations of the Economic and Social Council, the Committee for Programme and Coordination and the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions. The Assembly shall decide to accept, curtail, reformulate or reject each of the subprogrammes proposed in the plan.** | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269 and paragraph 24 of resolution 64/243. | **The General Assembly shall consider the proposed strategic framework in the light of the comments and recommendations of the Economic and Social Council and the Committee for Programme and Coordination. The Assembly shall decide to accept, curtail, reformulate or reject each of the subprogrammes proposed in the strategic framework.** |
| **Regulation 4.11** | | **Regulation 4.11** |
| **After its adoption by the General Assembly, the medium-term plan shall constitute the principal policy directive of the United Nations, which:** | In accordance with paragraphs 5 and 7 of resolution 58/269. | **After its adoption by the General Assembly, the strategic framework shall constitute the principal policy directive of the United Nations and shall serve as the basis for programme planning, budgeting, monitoring and evaluation. The strategic framework will comprise:** |
| **(a) States the medium-term objectives to be attained in the plan period;** | | **(a) Part one: a plan outline, reflecting the longer-term objectives of the Organization; and** |
| **(b) Describes the strategy to be followed to that effect and the means of action to be used.** | | **(b) Part two: a biennial programme plan to cover two years.** |

| *Regulation rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|
| | | **The strategic framework will also describe the strategies to be followed and the means of action to be used.** |
| **Rule 104.7** | Change in numbering. | **Rule 104.8** |
| In the subprogrammes of the proposed medium-term plan: | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | In the subprogrammes of the proposed strategic framework: |
| (a) Objectives for Secretariat action shall be, to the greatest extent possible, concrete and time-limited; achievement of the objectives should be verifiable either directly or through evaluation. Indicators of achievement should be given where possible; | | (a) Objectives for Secretariat action shall be, to the greatest extent possible, concrete and time-limited. Achievement of the objectives and expected accomplishments should be verifiable either directly or through evaluation. Indicators of achievement should be given where possible; |
| (b) When an objective for Secretariat action cannot be achieved by the end of the plan period, both this longer-term objective and more specific objective(s) to be achieved within the plan period shall be set; | | |
| (c) The strategy for the subprogrammes shall describe the course of action, the type of activities to be carried out (research, technical assistance, support of negotiations, etc.) and the programme framework within which the budget will be prepared, which can be expected to result in the fulfilment of the objectives set; | | |
| (d) The strategy shall briefly describe the situation that is expected to have been reached at the beginning of the plan period and the approach that will be taken during the plan period to achieve the objective; | | |
| (e) The objectives and strategy shall encompass all activities proposed within a subprogramme. | | |

| *Regulation rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|
| **Regulation 4.12** | | **Regulation 4.12** |
| **Sectoral, functional and regional programme-formulating organs shall refrain from undertaking new activities that are not programmed in the medium-term plan unless a pressing need of an unforeseeable nature arises, as determined by the General Assembly.** | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | **Sectoral, functional and regional programme-formulating organs shall refrain from undertaking new activities that are not programmed in the strategic framework unless a pressing need of an unforeseeable nature arises, as determined by the General Assembly.** |
| **Regulation 4.13** | | **Regulation 4.13** |
| **The medium-term plan shall be revised as necessary every two years to incorporate required programme changes; revisions to the plan shall be considered by the General Assembly one year before the submission of the proposed programme budget providing for implementation of the changes. The proposed revisions shall be as detailed as necessary to incorporate the programme implications of the resolutions and decisions adopted by intergovernmental organs and international conferences since the adoption of the plan.** | In accordance with paragraph 13 of resolution 58/269. | **The Committee for Programme and Coordination, in performing its programmatic role in the planning and budgeting process, shall review the programmatic aspects of the new and/or revised mandates approved by the General Assembly subsequent to the adoption of the biennial programme plan, as well as any differences that arise between the biennial programme plan and the programmatic aspects of the proposed programme budget.** |
| **Rule 104.8** | Change in numbering. | **Rule 104.9** |
| (a) Revisions to the medium-term plan are required, inter alia: | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269; change in numbering. | Revisions to the strategic framework are required, inter alia: |
| (i) When intergovernmental mandates adopted after the adoption of the plan call for new or substantially modified programmes and subprogrammes or any other revisions that should be properly identified; | Change in numbering. | (a) When intergovernmental mandates adopted after the adoption of the strategic framework call for new or substantially modified programmes and subprogrammes or any other revisions that should be properly identified; |
| (ii) When the programme mandates in the opinion of the Secretary-General have become obsolete; | Change in numbering. | (b) When the programme mandates in the opinion of the Secretary-General have become obsolete; |

| *Regulation/rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|
| (iii) When the Secretary-General deems it necessary to propose new activities at the subprogramme level not covered by existing legislative mandates. | Change in numbering. | (c) When the Secretary-General deems it necessary to propose new activities at the subprogramme level not covered by existing legislative mandates. |
| (b) Substantive revisions shall be those which propose a change in the objective(s) or the strategy of the programme or subprogramme. | Covered by regulation 4.13. | Delete (b). |
| **Regulation 4.14** | | **Regulation 4.14** |
| **The establishment of priorities among both substantive programmes and common services shall form an integral part of the general planning and management process without prejudice to arrangements and procedures now in force or to the specific character of servicing activities. Such priorities shall be based on the importance of the objective to Member States, the Organization's capacity to achieve it and the real effectiveness and usefulness of the results.** | Editorial change — "common services" was replaced with "common support services". | **The establishment of priorities among both substantive programmes and common support services shall form an integral part of the general planning and management process without prejudice to arrangements and procedures now in force or to the specific character of servicing activities. Such priorities shall be based on the importance of the objective to Member States, the Organization's capacity to achieve it and the real effectiveness and usefulness of the results.** |
| **Regulation 4.15** | | **Regulation 4.15** |
| **Specialized intergovernmental and expert bodies, when reviewing the relevant programmes of the medium-term plan within their sphere of competence, shall refrain from establishing priorities that are not consistent with the overall priorities established in the medium-term plan.** | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | **Specialized intergovernmental and expert bodies, when reviewing the relevant programmes of the strategic framework within their sphere of competence, shall refrain from establishing priorities that are not consistent with the overall priorities established in the strategic framework.** |
| **Regulation 4.16** | | **Regulation 4.16** |
| **The priorities, as determined by the General Assembly, established in the medium-term plan shall guide the allocation of budgetary and extrabudgetary resources in the subsequent programme budgets. After the medium-term plan has been adopted by the** | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269; editorial changes. | **The priorities established in the strategic framework, as determined by the General Assembly, shall guide the allocation of budgetary and extrabudgetary resources in the subsequent programme budgets. After the strategic framework has been adopted by the** |

| *Regulation rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|
| **Assembly, the Secretary-General shall bring the decisions on priorities to the attention of Member States and the governing boards of the voluntary funds.** | | **Assembly, the Secretary-General shall bring the decisions on priorities to the attention of Member States and the governing boards of the voluntary funds.** |
| **Rule 104.9** | Change in numbering. | **Rule 104.10** |
| The allocation of resources proposed by the Secretary-General in the subsequent programme budgets shall be made in accordance with regulations 3.2 and 5.1 to 5.9. | | |
| **Article V** | | |
| **Programme aspects of the budget** | | |
| **Regulation 5.1** | | **Regulation 5.1** |
| **The medium-term plan, as approved and revised by the General Assembly, shall serve as the framework for the formulation of the biennial programme budget. In order to facilitate this relationship, the programme budget shall have financial information at the programme and subprogramme levels.** | In accordance with paragraphs 6 (b) and (c) of resolution 58/269. | **The budget outline shall be submitted and considered for approval after consideration and adoption of the strategic framework. After their approval, the strategic framework and the budget outline shall together form the basis for preparing the proposed programme budget. In order to facilitate this relationship, the programme budget shall have financial information at the programme and subprogramme levels.** |
| **Rule 105.1** | | **Rule 105.1** |
| As provided in rule 104.4 (b), the subprogramme structure of the programme budget shall be identical to that of the medium-term plan unless a change in the subprogramme structure has been authorized by a subsequent legislative mandate. The financial data provided in the proposed programme budget shall be linked to the | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269; change in numbering. | As provided in rule 104.5 (b), the subprogramme structure of the programme budget shall be identical to that of the strategic framework unless a change in the subprogramme structure has been authorized by a subsequent legislative mandate. The financial data provided in the proposed programme budget shall be |

| *Regulation rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|
| medium-term plan at the programme and subprogramme levels. At the subprogramme level, estimates of the required resources, expressed as a percentage of the programme resources, shall be provided. | | linked to the strategic framework at the programme and subprogramme levels. At the subprogramme level, estimates of the required resources, expressed as a percentage of the programme resources, shall be provided. |
| **Regulation 5.2** | | **Regulation 5.2** |
| **The programme proposals set out in the budget shall aim at achieving the objectives established in the medium-term plan. Programme proposals that are not derived from the objectives of the plan shall be submitted only as a result of legislation passed subsequent to the adoption of the plan or its latest revision.** | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | **The programme proposals set out in the budget shall aim at achieving the objectives established in the strategic framework. Programme proposals that are not derived from the objectives shall be submitted only as a result of legislation passed subsequent to the adoption of the strategic framework or its latest revision.** |
| **Rule 105.2** | | **Rule 105.2** |
| No activity or output shall be included in the proposed programme budget unless it is clearly in implementation of the medium-term plan strategy and likely to help to achieve the plan objectives, or it is in implementation of legislation passed subsequent to the approval or revision of the plan. | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | No activity or output shall be included in the proposed programme budget unless it is clearly in implementation of the strategic framework strategy and likely to help to achieve the objectives of the strategic framework, or it is in implementation of legislation passed subsequent to the approval or revision of the strategic framework. |
| **Regulation 5.3** | | **Regulation 5.3** |
| **In the proposed programme budget, the requested resources shall be justified in terms of the requirements of output delivery.** | | **In the proposed programme budget, the requested resources shall be justified in terms of the requirements of output delivery in contributing to the expected accomplishments.** |
| **Rule 105.3** | | |
| For all activities, programme budget submissions provided to the Secretary-General will include, at the appropriate level of detail, data on the resources required, such as requirements for posts, travel, consultants and other relevant objects of expenditure. These data | | |

| *Regulation rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|
| will be used in internal budget formulation procedures as a basis for programme budget proposals. The programme narrative describing servicing activities shall include, wherever possible, quantitative indicators measuring the services rendered and showing any expected change in productivity during the biennium. | | |
| **Regulation 5.4** | | |
| **The proposed programme budget shall be divided into parts, sections and programmes. Programme narratives shall set out subprogrammes, outputs, objectives and accomplishments expected during the biennium. The proposed programme budget shall be preceded by a statement explaining the main changes made in the content of the programme and the volume of resources allocated to it in relation to the previous biennium. The proposed programme budget shall be accompanied by such information, annexes and explanatory statements as may be requested by or on behalf of the General Assembly and such further annexes or statements as the Secretary-General may deem necessary and useful.** | | |
| **Rule 105.4** | | |
| (a) The programme narratives for all activities shall conform to the following standards: | | |
| (i) All final outputs included in the proposed programme budget shall clearly contribute to the achievement of a subprogramme objective identified in the medium-term plan; | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | (i) All final outputs included in the proposed programme budget shall clearly contribute to the achievement of a subprogramme objective identified in the strategic framework; |
| (ii) Output descriptions shall conform to the following standard categories of final output: | | |

| *Regulation rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|

a. Servicing of intergovernmental and expert bodies, including reports thereto;

b. Other substantive activities;

c. International cooperation and inter-agency coordination and liaison;

d. Conference services;

e. Technical cooperation;

f. Administrative support services;

(iii) Expected accomplishments shall be formulated for each subprogramme and shall identify those benefits or changes expected to accrue to users or beneficiaries through the delivery of final outputs. Expected accomplishments shall be in conformity with and lead to the fulfilment of the objectives established in the programmes and subprogrammes. Expected accomplishments shall be expressed in terms that will facilitate the subsequent determination of whether the expectations have been met. Expected accomplishments shall be objective, feasible and pertinent to the nature of and the work carried out by each subprogramme;

(b) The programme narratives for servicing activities shall identify the services to be delivered by nature and quantity. Wherever possible, standard categories of services shall be established.

**Regulation 5.5**

**All activities for which resources are requested in the proposed programme budget shall be programmed.**

| *Regulation rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|
| **Rule 105.5**<br><br>All activities, whether financed from regular budget or extrabudgetary resources, shall be programmed in the sense that:<br><br>(a) Output descriptions as stipulated under rule 105.4 shall apply, irrespective of the resources used for producing the output;<br><br>(b) Financial information as stipulated under rule 105.3 shall be provided in internal budget formulation data for both categories of funds. | | |
| **Regulation 5.6**<br><br>**Within the proposed programme budget, the Secretary-General shall submit to the General Assembly, with justification, a list of outputs included in the previous budgetary period which, in the judgement of the Secretary-General, can be discontinued and which, as a consequence, have not been included in the proposed programme budget.** | | |
| **Rule 105.6**<br><br>In their budgetary submissions, heads of departments and offices shall provide the Secretary-General with a list of outputs and activities required by legislation or approved in a previous budgetary period that have not been included in the proposed programme budget because they are considered obsolete, of marginal usefulness or ineffective, and that therefore could be proposed for termination by the General Assembly. The determination of such outputs shall be made by applying, inter alia, the following criteria: | | |

| *Regulation rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|

(a) Outputs and activities derived from mandates that are at least five years old, unless a relevant intergovernmental body has reaffirmed the continuing validity of the mandate;

(b) Outputs and activities whose legislative basis has been superseded by new mandates;

(c) Outputs and activities that were programmed as new outputs in the budget for the previous biennium but that were not implemented in that biennium; if such outputs are to be included in the budget, justification must be provided;

(d) Outputs and activities that, during the in-depth evaluation of a programme by the Committee for Programme and Coordination or a review of the programme by the relevant functional or regional intergovernmental organ, were found to be obsolete, of marginal usefulness or ineffective.

**Regulation 5.7**

**The Secretary-General shall provide the Committee for Programme and Coordination and the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions with advance copies of the proposed programme budget by the end of April of the year preceding the budgetary period.**

**Rule 105.7**

(a) Heads of departments and offices shall submit programme proposals and corresponding budget estimates at such time and in such detail as the Secretary-General may prescribe and in accordance with the present Regulations and Rules.

| *Regulation/rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|
| (b) The submissions shall be reviewed by the Steering Committee on Reform and Management. In the light of the deliberations of the Steering Committee, the Secretary-General shall decide on the programme content and resource allocation of the budget to be submitted to the General Assembly. | In accordance with ST/SGB/2005/16. | (b) Taking into account the deliberations of the Management Committee, the Secretary-General shall decide on the programme content and resource allocation of the budget to be submitted to the General Assembly. |
| (c) The programmes of work submitted by programme managers to specialized intergovernmental organs should contain activities and output specifications identical to those set out in the programme portion of the proposed programme budget. This does not preclude the addition of further information and detail if required by the specialized intergovernmental organs. | | |
| **Regulation 5.8** | | |
| **The Committee for Programme and Coordination shall prepare a report on the proposed programme budget containing its programme recommendations and its general assessment of the related resource proposals. It shall receive a statement by the Secretary-General on the programme budget implications of its recommendations. The report of the Committee for Programme and Coordination shall be communicated simultaneously to the Economic and Social Council and to the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions. The Advisory Committee shall receive the report of the Committee for Programme and Coordination and study the statement by the Secretary-General. The reports of the Committee for Programme and Coordination and the Advisory Committee on each section of the proposed programme budget shall be considered simultaneously by the General Assembly.** | In accordance with resolution 58/269. | Delete regulation 5.8 and renumber the remaining regulations in article V. |

| *Regulation/rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|
| **Regulation 5.9** | Change in numbering. | **Regulation 5.8** |
| **No council, commission or other competent body shall take a decision involving either a change in the programme budget approved by the General Assembly or the possible requirement of expenditure unless it has received and taken account of a report from the Secretary-General on the programme budget implications of the proposal.** | | |
| **Article VI** | | |
| **Monitoring of programme implementation** | | |
| **Regulation 6.1** | | **Regulation 6.1** |
| **The Secretary-General shall monitor accomplishments, as measured by the delivery of outputs scheduled in the approved programme budget, through a central unit in the Secretariat. After the completion of the biennial budget period, the Secretary-General shall report to the General Assembly, through the Committee for Programme and Coordination, on programme performance during that period.** | Since the General Assembly approved the adoption of results-based budgeting in the Secretariat in its resolution 55/231, the accomplishments have been monitored not only by the delivery of outputs but also by the relevant indicators of achievement. | **The Secretary-General shall monitor accomplishments by subprogramme, as measured by relevant indicators of achievement and the delivery of outputs scheduled in the approved programme budget, through the Department of Management. After the completion of the biennial budget period, the Secretary-General shall report to the General Assembly, through the Committee for Programme and Coordination, on programme performance during that period.** |
| **Rule 106.1** | | **Rule 106.1** |
| (a) The Central Monitoring and Inspection Unit, in consultation with the Office of Programme Planning, Budget and Accounts, shall: | The Central Monitoring Unit no longer exists. In accordance with General Assembly resolution 61/245, the functions performed by the Unit first moved to the Office of Internal Oversight Services and then to the | (a) The Department of Management shall: |

| *Regulation rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|
| | Department of Management. The current responsibility for these functions therefore lies with the Department of Management. | |
| (i) Monitor changes made during the biennium in the programme of work set out in the programme budget approved by the General Assembly; | | |
| (ii) At the end of the biennium, determine the actual progress made towards achieving expected accomplishments, as measured by the delivery of final outputs, in comparison with the commitments set out in the programme narratives of the approved programme budget and report thereon to the General Assembly through the Committee for Programme and Coordination. This monitoring and performance reporting shall cover all activities in the programme budget. | The inclusion of the clause "to the extent possible by subprogramme" is in accordance with rule 106.1 (e) and is added here for clarity. The inclusion of the clause "as measured by the indicators" is explained in the comment to regulation 6.1 above. | (ii) At the end of the biennium, report on the actual progress made, to the extent possible by subprogramme, towards achieving expected accomplishments, as measured by the indicators, and towards delivery of final outputs, in comparison with the commitments set out in the programme narratives of the approved programme budget and report thereon to the General Assembly through the Committee for Programme and Coordination. This monitoring and performance reporting shall cover all activities in the programme budget. |
| (b) Programme performance shall be reported in accordance with the following procedures: | | |
| (i) Heads of departments and offices shall submit biennial programme performance reports for their departments at such time and in such detail as the Secretary-General may prescribe; | | |
| (ii) The Central Monitoring and Inspection Unit shall be responsible for ascertaining programme delivery and preparing the related report to the General Assembly. | The Central Monitoring Unit no longer exists. In accordance with General Assembly resolution 61/245, the functions performed by the Unit first moved to the Office of Internal Oversight Services and then to the | (ii) The Department of Management shall be responsible for reporting on programme delivery and preparing the related report for the General Assembly. |

| Regulation rule | Comment | Proposed revision |
| --- | --- | --- |
| | Department of Management. The current responsibility for these functions therefore lies with the Department of Management. | |
| (c) The Audit and Management Consulting Division shall conduct ad hoc detailed audits of output delivery. | The Audit and Management Consulting Division no longer exists. The functions performed by the Division were transferred to the Office of Internal Oversight Services by the General Assembly (see resolution 48/218 B). All ad hoc internal audits are now conducted by the Office. | (c) The Office of Internal Oversight Services shall conduct ad hoc detailed audits of programme and output delivery. |

(d) In the programme performance report, final outputs shall be listed according to the following categories:

(i) Completed as programmed;

(ii) Postponed to the following biennium, whether commenced or not;

(iii) Completed but significantly reformulated;

(iv) Terminated as obsolete, of marginal usefulness or ineffective;

(v) Additional output required by a legislative decision subsequent to the approval of the programme budget;

(vi) Additional output initiated by the programme manager.

An implementation rate based on the above categories shall be assigned to each programme in the programme performance report. Explanations shall be provided in the programme performance report for low implementation rates and, upon the

| *Regulation rule* | *Comment* | *Proposed revision* |
|---|---|---|
| request of Member States, for any other departures from programmed commitments. | | |
| (e) In the programme performance report, the assessment of progress against objectives and expected accomplishments required under rule 106.1 (a) (ii) shall be provided, to the extent possible, by subprogramme. An explanation shall be given for lack of progress. | | |
| **Regulation 6.2** | | |
| **An entire subprogramme shall not be reformulated nor a new programme introduced in the programme budget without the prior approval of an intergovernmental body and the General Assembly. The Secretary-General may make such proposals for review by the relevant intergovernmental body if he or she considers that circumstances so warrant.** | | |
| **Rule 106.2** | | |
| Programme implementation shall be monitored under the following procedures: | | |
| (a) Heads of departments or offices shall establish internal programme-monitoring procedures pursuant to guidelines established by the Central Monitoring and Inspection Unit; | The Central Monitoring Unit no longer exists. In accordance with General Assembly resolution 61/245, the functions performed by the Unit first moved to the Office of Internal Oversight Services and then to the Department of Management. The current responsibility for these functions therefore lies with the Department of Management. | (a) Heads of departments or offices shall establish internal programme-monitoring procedures pursuant to guidelines established by the Department of Management; |

| Regulation/rule | Comment | Proposed revision |
| --- | --- | --- |
| (b) Within any subprogramme, heads of departments or offices shall have the discretion with full justification to modify the approved programme budget by reformulating final outputs, postponing delivery of outputs to the following biennium or terminating outputs, provided that such changes are in pursuance of the objective and strategy of the subprogramme as set out in the medium-term plan. Such proposed changes shall be reported through the Central Monitoring and Inspection Unit; | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | (b) Within any subprogramme, heads of departments or offices shall have the discretion with full justification to modify the approved programme budget by reformulating final outputs, postponing delivery of outputs to the following biennium or terminating outputs, provided that such changes are in pursuance of the objective and strategy of the subprogramme as set out in the strategic framework. Such proposed changes shall be reported through the Department of Management; |
| (c) Subject to the procedures established under (a) and (b) above, changes in the programme of work mandated by a competent intergovernmental organ that can be implemented within existing resources may be implemented by the department or office concerned; | | |
| (d) Changes in the programme of work requiring net additional resources may not be implemented before they are approved by the General Assembly. | | |
| **Regulation 6.3** | | |
| **The Secretary-General shall transmit the biennial programme performance report to all Member States by the end of the first quarter following the completion of the biennial budgetary period.** | | |

| *Regulation* | *Comments* | *Updates required* |
|---|---|---|
| **Article VI** | | |
| **Evaluation** | | |
| **Regulation 7.1** | | |
| **The objective of evaluation is:** | | |
| **(a) To determine as systematically and objectively as possible the relevance, efficiency, effectiveness and impact of the Organization's activities in relation to their objectives;** | | |
| **(b) To enable the Secretariat and Member States to engage in systematic reflection, with a view to increasing the effectiveness of the main programmes of the Organization by altering their content and, if necessary, reviewing their objectives.** | | |
| **Rule 107.1** | | **Rule 107.1** |
| (a) The objectives of a programme or subprogramme shall be the standards against which its relevance, effectiveness and impact will be assessed. As a necessary component of programme evaluation there shall be an assessment of the relevance, quality and usefulness of individual output and the effectiveness of the output in achieving the time-limited subprogramme objectives. | The volume of outputs for a programme may be too large for meaningful evaluation; that is, large numbers of individual outputs are not meaningful units of account for evaluative analysis. In exercising an influence on the achievement of objectives, it would be necessary to identify the most relevant combinations of sets of outputs and their related outcomes for assessment. Rather than requiring an assessment of each individual output, it is more meaningful to require assessment of the extent to which a set of outputs has contributed to the desired outcomes. | (a) The objectives of a programme or subprogramme shall be the standards against which its relevance, effectiveness and impact will be assessed. As a necessary component of programme evaluation there shall be an assessment of the relevance, quality and usefulness of the relevant sets of outputs and their effectiveness in contributing to achieving the time-limited subprogramme objectives. |

| Regulation | Comments | Updates required |
|---|---|---|
| (b) Baseline data and indicators of achievement shall be utilized in the evaluation process to assess programme impact in terms of the objectives. An attempt shall be made to identify and analyse the factors associated with effectiveness and impact. | | |
| (c) Evaluation findings shall be communicated to Member States through intergovernmental bodies and to heads of departments and offices in order to facilitate the reconsideration of existing mandates, policies, strategies and objectives, the substantive content of programmes and its utility to the users. | | |
| **Regulation 7.2** | | **Regulation 7.2** |
| **All activities programmed shall be evaluated over a fixed time period. An evaluation programme as well as a timetable for intergovernmental review of evaluation studies shall be proposed by the Secretary-General and approved by the General Assembly at the same time as the proposed medium-term plan.** | While all activities programmed should be evaluated at some point in time, the decision to evaluate should be based on an assessment of risk to determine the timing and prioritization of evaluation. The requirement for all programmes to be evaluated over a fixed time period needs to be changed. | **All activities programmed shall be subject to a combination of self-evaluation and independent evaluation. The timing and frequency of evaluation shall be determined on the basis of the programme's needs or risk assessment by the programme manager for self-evaluation and on risk assessment by the Office of Internal Oversight Services for independent evaluation. An independent evaluation programme, as well as a timetable for intergovernmental review of evaluation studies, shall be proposed by the Secretary-General and approved by the General Assembly at the same time as the proposed programme budget.** |

| *Regulation* | *Comments* | *Updates required* |
|---|---|---|
| **Rule 107.2** | | **Rule 107.2** |
| (a) All programmes shall be evaluated on a regular, periodic basis. At the programme or subprogramme level, evaluation plans shall be linked to the medium-term plan, and they shall be integrated with the programme budget cycle. | This set of regulations and rules need to be revised to make a distinction between the two separate evaluation cycles: independent evaluation conducted by OIOS and other competent oversight bodies and self-evaluation conducted by programme managers. | (a) All programmes shall be evaluated on a regular, periodic basis, according to programme needs or risk assessment. At the programme or subprogramme level, independent evaluation plans shall be linked to the proposed programme budget. |
| (b) The evaluation system shall include periodic self-evaluation of activities directed at time-limited objectives and continuing functions. Programme managers shall, in collaboration with their staff, undertake self-evaluation of all subprogrammes under their responsibility. Specifically: | | |
| (i) The timing, scope and other characteristics of a self-evaluation study shall be determined by the nature and characteristics of the activities programmed and other relevant factors; | Self-evaluations are to be undertaken on a regular schedule in conjunction with the budget and programme performance reporting cycle. | |
| (ii) Methodological support shall be provided by the Central Evaluation Unit in connection with the preparation of self-evaluation reports; | For independent evaluation, OIOS has the responsibility to propose a programme and a timetable for intergovernmental review of independent evaluation studies to be linked to budget and programme performance reporting cycle. In accordance with General Assembly resolution 59/272, OIOS has a dual reporting line to the Secretary-General and the General Assembly. With regard to the evaluation programme, the common practice is that OIOS submits its proposals directly to the Committee for Programme and Coordination and the General Assembly. | Delete paragraph (b) (ii) and renumber subsequent paragraphs. |

| *Regulation* | *Comments* | *Updates required* |
|---|---|---|
| (iii) Evaluation plans, which are required for each new and ongoing subprogramme, shall be prepared by programme managers and shall contain the following elements: a definition of the purpose of the evaluation and the anticipated application of evaluation findings; the evaluation methodology to be employed; the characteristics of the evaluation (e.g., the scope of coverage and the period covered); the measures of change (e.g., the nature of the progress and the impact indicators to be employed); the means of information collection; the administrative arrangements; and the resource requirements; | | (ii) Self-evaluation plans, which are required for each new and ongoing subprogramme, shall be prepared by programme managers and shall contain the following elements: a definition of the purpose of the evaluation and the anticipated application of evaluation findings; the evaluation methodology to be employed; the characteristics of the evaluation (e.g., the scope of coverage and the period covered); the measures of change (e.g., the nature of the progress and the impact indicators to be employed); the means of information collection; the administrative arrangements; and the resource requirements; |
| (c) In addition to self-evaluation, the evaluation system shall include the ad hoc in-depth evaluation of selected programme areas or topics conducted internally or externally at the request of intergovernmental bodies or at the initiative of the Secretariat. In determining whether an in-depth evaluation should be carried out, the results of self-evaluation shall be taken into account. Specifically: | | (c) In addition to self-evaluation, the evaluation system shall include independent evaluation of selected programme areas or topics conducted by independent evaluation entities at the request of intergovernmental bodies or at the initiative of the Secretariat. In determining whether an independent evaluation should be carried out, the results of self-evaluation shall be taken into account. Specifically: |
| (i) The evaluation proposals submitted by the Secretary-General to the General Assembly for its approval shall include a list of programmes or portions of programmes to be covered on a regular, periodic basis by in-depth evaluations and a timetable indicating the years in which the related reports are due; | | (i) The independent evaluation proposals submitted by the Office of Internal Oversight Services to the General Assembly for review shall include a list of programmes or portions of programmes to be covered on a regular, periodic basis, according to a risk assessment, and a timetable indicating the years in which the related reports are due; |

| Regulation | Comments | Updates required |
|---|---|---|
| (ii) In-depth evaluation reports to be submitted for consideration by the Committee for Programme and Coordination or by the intergovernmental or expert organs directly concerned with each programme shall be prepared by the Central Evaluation Unit, in collaboration with the programme managers concerned and, if necessary, competent experts in the fields involved. The Joint Inspection Unit may also assist in the preparation of such reports; | | (ii) Independent evaluation reports to be submitted for consideration by the Committee for Programme and Coordination or by the intergovernmental or expert organs directly concerned with each programme shall be prepared by the Office of Internal Oversight Services in collaboration with the programme managers concerned and, if necessary, competent experts in the fields involved. The Joint Inspection Unit may also assist in the preparation of such reports; |
| (iii) At least one in-depth evaluation study shall be undertaken each year. Such a study shall normally be completed within two years. | The minimal stipulation of "at least one in-depth evaluation study" to be undertaken each year is no longer relevant or meaningful. | (iii) Independent evaluation studies shall normally be completed within two years. |
| **Regulation 7.3** | | **Regulation 7.3** |
| **Evaluation may be internal and/or external. The Secretary-General shall develop internal evaluation systems and seek the cooperation of Member States in the evaluation process, as appropriate. Evaluation methods shall be adapted to the nature of the programme being evaluated. The General Assembly shall invite such bodies as it sees fit, including the Joint Inspection Unit, to perform ad hoc external evaluations and to report on them.** | As mentioned above, focus should rather be on differentiating between self-evaluation and independent evaluation. | **Evaluation may be self-evaluation and/or independent evaluation. The Secretary-General shall develop evaluation systems and seek the cooperation of Member States in the evaluation process, as appropriate. Evaluation methods shall be adapted to the nature of the programme being evaluated. The General Assembly shall invite such bodies as it sees fit, including the Joint Inspection Unit, to perform ad hoc external evaluations and to report on them.** |

| *Regulation* | *Comments* | *Updates required* |
|---|---|---|
| **Rule 107.3** | | |
| (a) Self-evaluation shall be conducted by programme managers in compliance with guidelines established by the Central Evaluation Unit, which will be responsible for quality standards, methodology, the adaptation and transfer of evaluation information and ad hoc studies. | Rule 107.3 (a), (b) and (c) should apply only to self-evaluation and not to independent evaluation. Rule 107.3 (d) and (e) apply to both self-evaluation and independent evaluation. | (a) Self-evaluation shall be conducted by programme managers in compliance with guidelines established by the Office of Internal Oversight Services, which will be responsible for the establishment of quality standards, as well as the provision of guidance on methodology. Programme managers will be responsible for ensuring adequate capacity and support for the conduct of self-evaluation, maintaining quality standards, and the adaptation and transfer of evaluation information, lessons learned and ad hoc studies. |
| (b) The United Nations programme categories shall be used as a reference, but the primary focus of self-evaluation shall be on the subprogramme, outputs and activities. | | (b) The United Nations programme categories shall be used as a reference, but the primary focus of self-evaluation shall be on the subprogramme, activities, outputs and progress towards expected accomplishments and objectives. |
| (c) Self-evaluation reports shall be concerned with the effectiveness and impact of subprogrammes. In them, programme managers shall: | | |
| (i) Assess the quality and relevance of the outputs of each subprogramme and their usefulness to the users; | | (i) Assess the quality and relevance of the outputs of each subprogramme and their usefulness to the users and their effectiveness in contributing to expected accomplishments and objectives; |
| (ii) Compare the situation existing at the start of the implementation of each subprogramme and what remains to be done in order to ascertain the extent to which a subprogramme has attained its objective; | | |

| *Regulation* | *Comments* | *Updates required* |
|---|---|---|
| (iii) Analyse the extent to which the objectives of the programme have been attained and the impact of the totality of subprogrammes implemented in the context of the programme; | | (iii) Analyse the extent to which the objectives and the expected accomplishments of the programme have been attained and the impact of the totality of subprogrammes implemented in the context of the programme; |
| (iv) Identify, in the light of such findings, other possible designs for the programme; that is, alternative subprogrammes that might be considered in order to improve performance in attaining the programme objectives. | | |
| (d) The programme evaluation system shall utilize all information collected during the programme performance, monitoring and reporting process but shall remain separate and distinct from that process. | | (d) The self-evaluation and independent evaluation system shall utilize all information collected during the programme performance, monitoring and reporting process but shall remain separate and distinct from that process. |
| (e) The programme evaluation system is separate and distinct from the personnel performance appraisal system. Since the programme evaluation system is concerned with programme effectiveness and impact rather than the performance of individual staff members, no information shall be transmitted between the two systems. | | (e) The programme evaluation system is separate and distinct from the personnel performance appraisal system, since the programme evaluation system is concerned with programme effectiveness and impact rather than the performance of individual staff members. |
| **Regulation 7.4** | | **Regulation 7.4** |
| **The findings of the intergovernmental review of evaluations shall be reflected in subsequent programme design and delivery and policy directives. To this end, a brief report summarizing the conclusions of the Secretary-General on all evaluation studies conducted in the established evaluation** | With regard to the "brief report" referenced here, in accordance with General Assembly resolution 59/272, like all Office of Internal Oversight Services reports, this report is currently prepared and issued by the Office as a report of the Office to the General Assembly directly. | **The findings of the intergovernmental review of evaluations shall be reflected in subsequent programme design and delivery and policy directives. To this end, a brief report summarizing the conclusions on all self-evaluation and independent evaluation studies conducted** |

| *Regulation* | *Comments* | *Updates required* |
|---|---|---|
| **programme shall be submitted to the General Assembly at the same time as the text of the proposed medium-term plan.** | | **in the established evaluation programme shall be submitted by the Office of Internal Oversight Services to the General Assembly at the same time as the text of the proposed programme budget.** |
| **Rule 107.4**<br><br>(a) Evaluation findings in respect of ongoing or continuing activities shall be directly and immediately communicated to those responsible for programme planning and management to permit mid-course correction if required.<br><br>(b) The evaluation system shall include the monitoring of action taken on the basis of evaluation conclusions and recommendations.<br><br>(c) Evaluation methods shall be made consistent and comparable so as to facilitate the adaptation and transfer of evaluation findings among United Nations programmes.<br><br>(d) The reporting of evaluation results shall include a statement on the comprehensiveness and rigour of the evaluation process; it shall clearly differentiate between objective evidence, professional technical judgements and political judgements of Member States, and all its conclusions and recommendations shall be supported by such evidence and judgements. The recommendations contained in the report shall be unambiguous and possible to implement. | | |

| *Regulation* | *Comments* | *Updates required* |
|---|---|---|
| (e) Intergovernmental or expert committees directly concerned with each programme shall formulate, in connection with the evaluation reports submitted to them, recommendations concerning methods of implementation and shall suggest, where appropriate, a new design for the programme, resulting in a new set of subprogrammes. Those recommendations shall be transmitted to the Committee for Programme and Coordination for consideration and, in the case of programmes concerning servicing activities, to the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions as well. | | (e) Intergovernmental or expert committees directly concerned with each programme shall formulate, in connection with the evaluation reports submitted to them, recommendations concerning methods of implementation and shall suggest, where appropriate, a new design for the programme, resulting in a new set of subprogrammes. Those recommendations shall be transmitted to the Committee for Programme and Coordination for consideration and, in the case of programmes concerning with support and servicing activities, to the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions as well. |
| (f) After considering the recommendations of the competent intergovernmental or expert organs and the recommendations contained in the reports prepared by the Central Evaluation Unit, the Committee for Programme and Coordination shall propose to the Economic and Social Council and to the General Assembly measures that may relate to: | | (f) After considering the recommendations of the competent intergovernmental or expert organs and the recommendations contained in the reports prepared by the Office of Internal Oversight Services, the Committee for Programme and Coordination shall propose to the Economic and Social Council and to the General Assembly measures that may relate to: |
| (i) Changes in procedures used in implementing ongoing programmes; | | |
| (ii) The redefinition of the content of programmes and subprogrammes; | | |

| Regulation | Comments | Updates required |
|---|---|---|
| (g) Measures thus proposed shall be considered either in connection with the formulation of a new plan after study by the intergovernmental bodies concerned of the report summarizing the conclusions of the Secretary-General on the relevant evaluation studies, as envisaged in regulation 7.4, or in connection with a biennial revision of the plan, as envisaged in regulation 4.13. | | (g) Measures thus proposed shall be considered in connection with the formulation of a new plan after study by the intergovernmental bodies concerned of the report summarizing the conclusions of the Secretary-General on the relevant evaluation studies, as envisaged in regulation 7.4. |
| (h) A summary report shall be prepared periodically to include findings of individual reports and general conclusions on the design of the programmes reviewed in order to assist in the preparation of the medium-term plan. | In accordance with paragraph 5 of resolution 58/269. | (h) A summary report shall be prepared periodically to include findings of individual reports and general conclusions on the design of the programmes reviewed in order to assist in the preparation of the strategic framework. |

# Appendix

## Glossary of terms



| *Existing text* | *Proposed changes* |
|---|---|
| *Activity*. Action taken to transform inputs into outputs. | *Activities*. All the actions and tasks carried out to transform inputs into planned outputs and accomplishments. |
| | *Attribution*. The ascription of a causal link between observed (or expected to be observed) changes and a specific intervention. Attribution refers to that which is to be credited for the observed changes or results achieved. |
| | *Baseline study*. An analysis describing the situation prior to an intervention, against which progress can be assessed or comparisons made. |
| | *Benchmark*. Reference point or standard against which performance or achievements can reasonably be assessed. |
| | *Best practice*. A technique or methodology that, through experience and research, has proven to reliably lead to desired results in a given situation and that may have applicability elsewhere. |
| *Budget year*. The second year of a biennium, in which the Secretary-General submits the proposed programme budget for the following biennium. | No change. |
| *Common services*. Common services are either conference services rendered to Member States or administrative services rendered by a single unit to more than one department or office. | |
| *Competent intergovernmental organ*. An intergovernmental organ is competent to provide legislative mandates to the Secretariat in the areas covered by its terms of reference as set out in intergovernmental resolutions or decisions, normally those establishing its existence. Such competence may be global, regional, sectoral or functional. | No change. |

| *Existing text* | *Proposed changes* |
| --- | --- |
| | *Conclusions*. Conclusions point out the factors of success and failure of the evaluated intervention, with special attention paid to the intended and unintended results and impacts, and more generally to any other strength or weakness. A conclusion draws on data collection and analyses undertaken, through a transparent chain of arguments. |
| | *Contribution*. The link between the activities carried out by various organizational units to arrive at final products or services delivered to end users to accomplish a desired result. |
| | *Cost-benefit analysis*. A specialized analysis which converts all the costs and benefits of a particular activity to common monetary terms and then assesses the ratio of results to inputs against other alternatives or against some established criteria of cost-benefit performance. It often involves the comparison of investment and operating costs with the direct and indirect benefits generated by the investment in a project or programme. |
| *Delivery of output*. An output is generally considered to have been delivered when the service is completed or when the products resulting from a programme activity are made available to the intended primary users; e.g., in the case of a report or a technical publication, when it has been circulated to Member States, interested Governments or other primary users; in the case of a sales publication, when it is placed on sale. | No change. |
| | *Economical*. The prudent and cost-effective management, acquisition and use of resources, using the minimum of time or resources necessary for effectiveness. |
| *Effectiveness* is the extent to which expected accomplishments are achieved. | *Effectiveness*. The extent to which an intervention's objectives, expected accomplishments, planned outputs and programme results were achieved. |
| *Efficiency* is measured by how well inputs are converted into outputs. | *Efficiency*. A measure of how economically inputs (resources, expertise, time, etc.) are converted into outputs, accomplishments, outcomes and impact. |

| *Existing text* | *Proposed changes* |
|---|---|
| *End user* is the recipient or beneficiary of an output or accomplishment. | No change. |
| *Evaluation* is a process that seeks to determine as systematically and objectively as possible the relevance, effectiveness and impact of an activity in the light of its goals, objectives and accomplishments. Specifically: | No change. |
| • *In-depth evaluation* is undertaken by the Central Evaluation Unit at the request of the Committee for Programme and Coordination, as endorsed by the General Assembly, or at the request of other intergovernmental bodies. It may also be undertaken by evaluation units in regional and sectoral secretariats at the request of their governing bodies. This type of evaluation may also be initiated by the Secretary-General for a particular programme as the need arises. In-depth evaluation focuses on the programme. On the basis of studies conducted by the relevant evaluation unit for their consideration, the Committee for Programme and Coordination, intergovernmental bodies at the regional and sectoral levels, other technical intergovernmental bodies and appropriate expert bodies concerned review entire programmes or the entire work of an entity in depth. The objective is to make recommendations that would help the Economic and Social Council and the General Assembly to formulate decisions aimed at increasing the overall relevance, effectiveness and impact of the programmes of the United Nations in the context of the intergovernmental goals and policies; | • *Independent evaluation*. An evaluation carried out by entities and persons free of the control of those responsible for the design and implementation of the programme. An independent evaluation is undertaken by the Office of Internal Oversight Services at the request of the Committee for Programme and Coordination, as endorsed by the General Assembly, or at the request of other intergovernmental bodies. It is also initiated by the Under-Secretary-General for Internal Oversight Services on the basis of a risk assessment by the Office. The Secretary-General may also request an independent evaluation of a particular programme as the need arises. On the basis of studies conducted by the Office of Internal Oversight Services for their consideration, the Committee for Programme and Coordination, intergovernmental bodies at the regional and sectoral levels, other technical intergovernmental bodies and appropriate expert bodies concerned review entire programmes or the entire work of an entity in depth. The objective is to make recommendations that would help the Economic and Social Council and the General Assembly to formulate decisions aimed at increasing the overall relevance, effectiveness and impact of the programmes of the United Nations in the context of the intergovernmental goals and policies. |
| • *Self-evaluation* is undertaken by programme managers primarily for their own use. Self-evaluation focuses on the subprogramme and can be applied to all sectors, including political, legal, humanitarian, economic and social affairs, public information and common services. As an integral part of the management process, the design and conduct of the self-evaluation procedure are specified at the planning and programming stages in conjunction with the design of the relevant subprogramme. Findings are applied by the programme managers to make necessary adjustments in | • *Self-evaluation*. A systematic assessment carried out by those entrusted with the design and delivery of a programme, the findings of which are used to improve planning, performance, programme results and management. Self-evaluation is undertaken by programme managers primarily for their own use. Self-evaluation focuses on the subprogramme and can be applied to all sectors, including political, legal, humanitarian, economic and social affairs, public information and common services. As an integral part of the management process, the design and conduct |

| Existing text | Proposed changes |
|---|---|
| implementation or are fed back into the planning and programming process in the form of proposed changes in the design and or orientation of the subprogramme or project concerned. While the results of self-evaluation are not normally reported at the intergovernmental level, the conclusions drawn from the analytical information generated for various subprogrammes and projects within a programme can be used as inputs for assessing the programme as a whole; | of the self-evaluation procedure are specified at the planning and programming stages in conjunction with the design of the relevant subprogramme. Findings are applied by the programme managers to make necessary adjustments in implementation or are fed back into the planning and programming process in the form of proposed changes in the design and or orientation of the subprogramme or project concerned. While the results of self-evaluation are not normally reported at the intergovernmental level, the conclusions drawn from the analytical information generated for various subprogrammes and projects within a programme can be used as inputs for assessing the programme as a whole. |
| • *Internal evaluation* covers both self-evaluation and in-depth evaluation (see above); | Delete. |
| • *Ongoing evaluation* is the examination of an activity during its implementation to assess its continued relevance and progress towards the achievement of its objectives; | No change. |
| • *Ex post evaluation* is the assessment of the relevance, effectiveness and impact of an activity carried out some time after its completion. | No change. |
| | *Evaluation criteria*. The characteristics against which a programme or project is evaluated. |
| | *Evaluation design*. Used broadly, this term describes the complete approach and plan for the evaluation process. Used more narrowly, it refers to a specific strategy for answering specific evaluation questions. |
| | *Evidence*. The information presented to support a finding, an assertion or a conclusion that is sufficient, competent, and relevant. |
| *Expected accomplishment* is a desired outcome involving benefits to end-users, expressed as a quantitative or qualitative standard, value or rate. Accomplishments are the direct consequence or effect of the generation of outputs and lead to the fulfilment of a certain objective. | |

| *Existing text* | *Proposed changes* |
| --- | --- |
| *External evaluation* is performed by entities outside the United Nations Secretariat. The General Assembly invites such bodies as it sees fit, including the Joint Inspection Unit, to carry out this type of evaluation. | |
| *External factors* are events and/or conditions that are beyond the control of those responsible for an activity but that have an influence on the success or failure of the activity. They may be anticipated in the form of assumptions or they may be unanticipated. | No change. |
| *Impact* is an expression of the changes produced in a situation as the result of an activity that has been undertaken. | *Impact*. The changes produced in a situation as a result of an intervention that has been undertaken, including positive and negative, primary and secondary long-term effects, directly or indirectly intended or unintended. Impact may also refer to the ultimate, highest level or end outcome of an activity or set of activities. |
| | *Independence*. The freedom from conditions that threaten the ability of the oversight entity to carry out its oversight responsibilities in an unbiased manner. In this regard, the Office of Internal Oversight Services shall have the authority to initiate, carry out and report on any action that it considers necessary to fulfil its responsibilities with regard to monitoring, internal audit, inspection and evaluation and investigations, as set forth in General Assembly resolution 48/218 B. |
| *Indicators of achievement* are used to measure whether and/or the extent to which the objectives and/or expected accomplishments have been achieved. Indicators correspond either directly or indirectly to the objective or the expected accomplishment for which they are used to measure performance. | *Indicators of achievement* are used to measure whether and/or the extent to which the objectives and/or expected accomplishments have been achieved. Indicators correspond either directly or indirectly to the objective or the expected accomplishment for which they are used to measure performance. Indicators should ideally be specific, measurable, achievable, relevant and time-bound. |
| *Inputs* are personnel and other resources necessary for producing outputs and achieving accomplishments. | *Inputs* are human, financial and other resources necessary for producing outputs and achieving accomplishments. |

| *Existing text* | *Proposed changes* |
| --- | --- |
| | *Inspection*. A review of an organizational unit, issue or practice perceived to be of potential risk in order to determine the extent to which it adheres to normative standards, good practices or other pre-determined criteria and to identify corrective action as needed. |
| *Intergovernmental organ*. An organ is intergovernmental if its membership consists of Governments. Thus, United Nations bodies consisting of persons serving in their individual capacities are not intergovernmental organs, even if the persons concerned were nominated by Governments or elected by an intergovernmental organ. | No change. |
| *Legislative mandate*. A legislative mandate is a request for action addressed to the Secretary-General or an executive head acting on his behalf in a resolution or decision adopted by a competent United Nations intergovernmental organ. | No change. |
| | *Lessons learned*. The knowledge or understanding gained from the implementation of a programme, subprogramme or project that is likely to be helpful in modifying and improving programme performance in the future. This knowledge is intentionally collected with the purpose of using it in the future and it includes both positive and negative lessons. A lesson learned summarizes knowledge at a point in time, while learning is an ongoing process. |
| | *Logical framework*. A management tool used to identify strategic elements of a programme or project (objective, expected accomplishments, indicators of achievement, outputs and inputs) and their causal relationships, as well as the assumptions and external factors that may influence success and failure. A logical framework facilitates planning, implementation, monitoring and evaluation of a programme or project. |
| | *Meta-evaluation*. A systemic review of evaluations to determine the quality of their processes and findings. |
| *Monitoring*. Monitoring is the periodic determination by the head of a department or office, or by the Central Monitoring and Inspection Unit, of the actual delivery of final outputs in comparison with the commitments for the delivery of outputs set out in the programme budget as approved by the General Assembly. | *Monitoring*. Monitoring is the periodic determination by the head of a department or office, and by the Department of Management, of the actual delivery of final outputs in comparison with the commitments for the delivery of outputs set out in the programme budget as approved by the General Assembly. |

| *Existing text* | *Proposed changes* |
|---|---|
| *Objective*. In programme budgeting, objective refers to an overall desired achievement involving a process of change and aimed at meeting certain needs of identified end-users within a given period of time. | *Objective*. An overall desired achievement involving a process of change and aimed at meeting certain needs of identified end-users within a given period of time. The objective is expressed at the level of the Organization as a whole (Member States and Secretariat), rather than at the level of either intergovernmental or Secretariat action only. The achievement of the objectives should be verifiable either directly or through evaluation. Indicators of achievement should be given where possible. |
| *Off-budget year*. The first year of a biennium, in which the Secretary-General submits an outline of the proposed programme budget for the following biennium. | No change. |
| *Outputs*. Outputs are final products or services delivered by a programme or subprogramme to end-users, such as reports, publications, training, servicing of meetings, or advisory, editorial, translation or security services, which an activity is expected to produce in order to achieve its objectives. | No change. |
| | *Performance management*. Measures taken by a programme manager, based on monitoring and evaluation information, to foster continuous improvement. It is supported by performance measurement. |
| | *Performance monitoring*. A continuous process of collecting and analysing data to compare how well a programme, project or policy is being implemented against expected results. |
| | *Primary data*. Information collected directly by the evaluator rather than obtained from secondary sources (data collected by others) to inform an evaluation. |
| *Priority*. A priority is a preferential rating for the allocation of limited resources. Thus, activities with the highest priority are those that would be conducted even if total resources were significantly curtailed; activities with the lowest priority are those that would be curtailed or terminated if all anticipated resources were not available or if activities with a higher priority had to be commenced or expanded. | No change. |

| Existing text | Proposed changes |
|---|---|
| *Programme*. A programme consists of the activities undertaken by a department or office. | No change. |
| | *Programme evaluation*. Assessment of the overall relevance, efficiency, effectiveness and impact of a single programme or subprogramme. |
| | *Programme impact pathway*. A logical framework tool used to identify strategic elements of a project or programme (objectives, inputs and outputs, intended outcomes, activities, indicators) and their causal relationships, as well as the critical assumptions that may influence success and failure. |
| | *Project evaluation*. Evaluation of an individual project designed to achieve specific objectives within specified resources, in an adopted time span and following an established plan of action, often within the framework of a broader programme. The basis of evaluation should be built into the project document. |
| *Programme manager*. A programme manager is the Secretariat official responsible for the formulation and implementation of a programme as defined above, normally the head of an organizational unit. For the purposes of self-evaluation, programme managers also refer to those who are involved in the implementation of subprogrammes, namely, the head of a division, branch or section. | No change. |
| *Programme strategy*. A programme strategy is a sequence of means of action to be undertaken for the purpose of achieving an objective. | No change. |
| | *Qualitative methods*. The data-collection and analytical methods for information that is not easily captured in numerical form (although qualitative data can be quantified). Qualitative data typically consist of words and normally describe people's opinions, knowledge, attitudes or behaviours. Examples of qualitative methods are interviews, focus group discussions, direct observations and literature reviews. |
| | *Quantitative methods*. The data-collection and analytical methods for information that is expressed or measurable in a numerical form. Quantitative data typically consist of numbers. Examples of quantitative methods are surveys and statistical analyses of available quantitative data. |

| Existing text | Proposed changes |
| --- | --- |
| | *Recommendation*. Proposal for action to be taken to enhance the design, allocation of resources, effectiveness, quality, or efficiency of a programme or a project. Recommendations should be substantiated by evaluation findings, linked to conclusions and indicate the parties responsible for implementing the recommended actions.<br><br>Different categories of recommendations used by the Office of Internal Oversight Services include:<br><br>(a) *Critical*. A recommendation that addresses a significant and/or pervasive deficiency or weakness in programme design, delivery, performance or achievement of results, which, if not implemented, will put at high risk the likelihood of the programme achieving its mandated objectives;<br><br>(b) *Important*. A recommendation arising from an important deficiency or weakness in programme design, delivery, performance or achievement of results, which, if not implemented, will put at some risk the likelihood of the programme achieving its mandated objectives; and<br><br>(c) *Opportunity for improvement*. A recommendation arising from a deficiency or weakness in programme design, delivery, performance or achievement of results, which may not necessarily impact the achievement of mandated objectives under review, but which, if remedied, would add value to overall programme implementation. |
| *Relevance*. Relevance is the extent to which an activity, expected accomplishment or strategy is pertinent or significant for achieving the related objective and the extent to which the objective is significant to the problem addressed. Relevance is viewed in the context of the activity's design as well as in the light of the factual situation at the time of evaluation. | No change. |
| | *Result*. The measurable progress towards expected accomplishments and objectives (intended or unintended, positive or negative) of a programme or project. |

| Existing text | Proposed changes |
| --- | --- |
| | *Results-based management*. A broad management approach that uses information about expected results for strategic planning, human resources and budgetary decision-making, performance measurement and learning. The General Assembly endorsed this definition in its resolution 63/276. |
| | *Risk*. The effect of uncertainty on objectives (enterprise resource management policy). In broader terms, the possibility of an event occurring that would affect the achievement of objectives. Risk is measured in terms of impact and likelihood. |
| | *Risk assessment*. The analysis of the likelihood (or probability) and potential impact of a risk. Risks are assessed in the context of the objectives, mandates and strategic plans through risk questionnaires, interviews, workshops with relevant management and staff, analyses of historical data and other sources. Identified risks shall then be measured and scored according to the weighting of perceived impact, likelihood and level of internal control effectiveness. |
| *Subprogramme*. A subprogramme consists of activities within a programme aimed at achieving one or a few closely related objectives as set out in the medium-term plan. The subprogramme structure shall correspond, to the extent possible, to an organizational unit, normally at the division level. | No change. |
| | *Support services*. Support services are either conference services rendered to Member States or administrative services rendered by a single unit to more than one department or office. |
| | *Sustainability*. The probability of continued long-term benefit. |
| | *Terms of reference*. A written document presenting the purpose and scope of the evaluation, the methods to be used, the standard against which performance is to be assessed or analyses are to be conducted, the resources and time allocated, and reporting requirements. |